

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,304	03/01/2004	Alan J. Wheatley	21478.NP	3529	
7:	590 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER	
Garron M. Hobson THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP			PUROL, D	PUROL, DAVID M	
P.O. Box 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,304	WHEATLEY, ALAN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David M Purol	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed \ s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	arch 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06042004</u>.</li> </ul>	_ ' ' '	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,304 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 1-5,7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated

by Idland. Idland discloses a window cover device comprising sheets of material 12-

16,112,114, apertures 113, indicia 115, and a backing layer 26.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Idland.

As to the specific density of apertures, inasmuch as there is nothing to indicate that the

particular number of apertures and their spacing is significant or is anything more than

one of numerous spacings one having ordinary skill in the art would have recognized for

the explicit purpose of controlling the transparency of the window cover, no patentable

weight has been attributed thereto.

3. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Idland in view of Gonzalez. While Idland does not set forth the use of a container

Application/Control Number: 10/791,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

for storing the window cover, Gonzalez discloses a window cover which is stored in a container 40, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the window cover of Idland for the explicit purpose of storing and protecting the window cover when not in use would

4. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure: Dunklin et al, Cliffe, Pawlowski, Janssen et al, Hendershot,

Masi '773 and '135, Lee.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M Purol

at telephone number 703/308-2168.

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (703) 308-2168 December 5, 2004